May 27, 2004

Ms. M. Ann Montgomery
Assistant Ellis County & District Attorney
Ellis County
1201 N. Hwy 77, Suite B
Waxahachie, Texas 75165-5140

OR2004-4357

Dear Ms. Montgomery:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 202641.

The Ellis County Sheriff's Department (the "department") received a request for certain information related to a specified arrest. You state that some of the requested information has been provided to the requestor. However, you claim that the remaining requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, and 552.103 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision" and encompasses information that other statutes make confidential. You claim that the information at issue is confidential under section 143.1214 of the Local Government Code. However, subchapter G of chapter 143, which includes section 143.1214, generally applies only to municipalities with a population of 1.5 million or more. See Local Gov't Code § 143.101(a). As Ellis County is not a municipality, section 143.1214 does not apply to it, and none of the information may be withheld under section 552.101 on this basis. See Local Gov't Code §§ 1.005 (defining term "municipality" for purposes of Local Government Code), 5.001-.900 (designating various types of municipalities).

We turn now to your arguments regarding section 552.108 of the Government Code. This section provides in part:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime is excepted from [required public disclosure] if:
 - (1) release of the information would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime; [or]
 - (2) it is information that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

. . **. .**

- (b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution is excepted from the requirements of Section 552.021 if:
 - (1) release of the internal record or notation would interfere with law enforcement or prosecution; [or]
 - (2) the internal record or notation relates to law enforcement only in relation to an investigation that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication[.]

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1), (2). Generally speaking, subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1) are mutually exclusive of subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2). Subsections 552.108(a)(1) and 552.108(b)(1) protect information that pertains to criminal investigation and prosecution efforts. In contrast, subsections 552.108(a)(2) and 552.108(b)(2) protect information that relates to criminal investigations or prosecutions that did not result in conviction or deferred adjudication.

In addition, subsections 552.108(a) and 552.108(b) generally apply to different types of information. Subsection 552.108(a) applies to records such as offense reports that pertain to specific investigations or prosecutions. On the other hand, subsection 552.108(b) generally applies to internal records such as detailed police procedures that are not commonly known. See City of Fort Worth v. Cornyn, 86 S.W.3d 320, 327 (Tex. App.—Austin 2002, no pet.) (section 552.108(b)(1) is intended to protect "information which, if released, would permit private citizens to anticipate weaknesses in a police department, avoid detection, jeopardize officer safety, and generally undermine police efforts to effectuate the laws of this State"); Open Records Decision Nos. 531 at 2-3 (1989) (Penal Code provisions, common law rules, and constitutional limitations on use of force are not protected under law enforcement exception), 252 at 3 (1980) (governmental body did not meet burden because it did not indicate why investigative procedures and techniques requested were any different from

those commonly known). In raising subsection 552.108(a)(1) or (a)(2), a governmental body must advise this office of the status of the particular investigation or prosecution at issue. To prevail on its claim that section 552.108(b)(1) excepts information from disclosure, a law-enforcement agency must meet its burden of explaining how and why release of the requested information would interfere with law enforcement and crime prevention. See Open Records Decision No. 562 at 10 (1990) (construing statutory predecessor). The determination of whether the release of particular records would interfere with law enforcement is made on a case-by-case basis. See Open Records Decision No. 409 at 2 (1984) (construing statutory predecessor).

In this instance, the records at issue consist of offense reports and related documents. You state that this information relates to an "ongoing investigation and possible prosecution of the crime in relation to the investigation." Based on your representation and our review of the submitted information, we conclude that the release of this information "would interfere with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime." Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(1); Houston Chronicle Publ'g Co. v. City of Houston, 531 S.W.2d 177 (Tex. Civ. App.—Houston [14th Dist.] 1975), writ ref'd n.r.e. per curiam, 536 S.W.2d 559 (Tex. 1976) (court delineates law enforcement interests that are present in active cases); Open Records Decision No. 216 at 3 (1978).

However, section 552.108 does not except from disclosure basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime. Gov't Code § 552.108(c). We believe such basic information refers to the information held to be public in *Houston Chronicle*, including a detailed description of the offense. See 531 S.W.2d at 185; see also Open Records Decision No. 127 (1976) (summarizing types of information made public by *Houston Chronicle*). You represent that the department has released the basic information to the requestor. Although section 552.108(a)(1) authorizes the department to withhold the remaining submitted information, it may choose to release all or part of it that is not otherwise confidential by law. See Gov't Code § 552.007. As our ruling on this issue is dispositive, we need not address your remaining arguments.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney

general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

W. Montgomery Meitler Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

V. Mintgoney Wall

WMM/krl

Ref: ID# 202641

Ms. M. Ann Montgomery - Page 5

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Ms. Melanie Smith P. O. Box 1432

Midlothian, Texas 76065

(w/o enclosures)